

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| 09/987,763 | 11/15/2001 | Corey M. Crafton | 1533.1940002/MAC/MBT | 1533.1940002/MAC/MBT 7167 | |
| 45453 | 7590 03/15/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC (ARCHER DANIELS MIDLAND COMPANY) | | | KAUSHAL, SUMESH | | |
| 301 GRANT STREET, 20TH FLOOR PITTSBURGH, PA 15219 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1633 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ĩ | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|------------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| • | Advisory Action | 09/987,763 | CRAFTON ET AL. | | | | |
| :1 | Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| • | | Sumesh Kaushal Ph.D. | 1633 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence addre | ess | | | |
| THE | REPLY FILED 14 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | | | | | | |
| | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on | | | donment of | | | |
| | this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which | | | | | | |
| | places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance | | | | | | |
| | time periods: | ce with 37 Cr K 1.114. The reply hit | ust be med within one c | in the following | | | |
| a) | The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | e of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In | | | | | | |
| | no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN | | | | | | |
| | TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | - TINOT NEI ET WAOTTE | .20 ****** | | | |
| | sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex | | | | | | |
| | 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the | | | | | | |
| | rth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later | | te of the final rejection, ev | en if timely filed, | | | |
| | educe any eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | <i>)-</i> | | | | | |
| | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be | filed within two months | of the date of | | | |
| | filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since | | | | | | |
| ΔΝΛ⊏ | a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS | within the time period set forth in 3 | 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | |
| | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered bed | cause | | | |
| ح. و | (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | 54400 | | | |
| | (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | tter form for appeal by materially re | ducing or simplifying th | e issues for | | | |
| | appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rei | ected claims. | | | | |
| | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | |
| | 1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| б | Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendmen | t canceling the | | | |
| 7. 🛛 | 7. 🔯 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔯 will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will be entered and an explanation of | | | | | | |
| | how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro | vided below or appended. | | | | | |
| | The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: 1.2.4-21 and 25-38. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFI | DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| В. 🔲 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | | | | | | |
| | because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an | d sufficient reasons why the affiday | rit or other evidence is a | necessary and | | | |
| a П | was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing | a Notice of Anneal, but prior to the | date of filing a brief w | ill not be | | | |
| у. Ш | entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o | | | | | | |
| | showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | • | | | | | |
| |] The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attache | ed. | | | |
| | The request for reconsideration has been considered but | ut does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowand | e because: | | | |
| | See Continuation Sheet. | it does the r place are application in | , containent ter americant | | | | |
| | 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |
| 13. [| Other: | | 0 111 | / | | | |
| | | | Sumesh Kaushal Primany Evaminar | | | | |
| | | | Sumesh Kaushal Primary Examiner | | | | |
| | | | Art Unit: 1633 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Newly filed claim limitation in claim 20 would require additional search and/or consideration under 35 USC 101, and 112.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant fails to provide any evidence that nucleic acid sequences as claimed has homology to known idh-promoter(s) as discussed during the interview conducted on 01/07/06.